## SECRET

The state of the s	
DOS 14 REV DATE 8 MAY BY O	10212
onia comp	
Unit ULASS DAnce	0
JUST 22 NEXT REV 2010 AUTH	
AUIN:	HR 10-2

August 18, 1955 5172

50X1

50X1

50X1

Washington, D. C.

Attns		
Dear		

Confirming our telephone conversation on 18 August 1955 the frequency ranges of the Viking transmitter and the kilowatt amplifier are as listed:

Transmitter

Amplifier

3.5 - 30 me.

1.675 - 2.15 me.

3.175 - 4.48 mc.

6.375 - 8.20 mg.

12.48 -17.32 mc.
19.25 -24.12 mc.

23.32 -32.90 mc.

The amplifier is continuously tunable over the indicated range. This range cannot be extended in either direction without entailing appreciable modifications.

The transmitter is continuously tunable in each of the six bands listed but there are gaps between bands as may be noted. The bandwidth requirement for transmission of the 100 microsecond pulse is about 100 kc. However, the receiver has very definite limitations and for that reason we are confining our attention to the forward edge of the pulse, giving little concern to the overall pulse shape.

Time delay measurements for one complete transmission, that is from the initiating transmitter through a receiver, the automatic keying circuit, the second transmitter, back through a receiver at the initial transmitting location and not including any propagation time, is 236 microseconds which is accurate within \* 3 microseconds. However, we are not yet in a position to state the degree of stability of the circuit. This matter is being investigated. It is expected that our present studies will answer such questions as to the effect of primary voltage change, change of tubes, and the effect of

SECRET

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2014/01/15 : CIA-RDP78-03424A001300090020-8

SECRET

50X1

50X1

50X1

	-2- August 18, 1955 5172
which must be known, of course varied the primary line voltag satisfactory as they indicated	esistors, capacitors, etc. These are factors, The results of a few tests in which we in excess of plus and minus 10% were most no appreciable change in the time delay. We sponding lack of sensitivity with a change in
	for the week beginning with Labor Day. It is 9 September 1955, would be acceptable. If
With kind regards -	
	Sincerely yours,
	Froject Engineer
NS/mas	

cc: 3 (orig & 2)